

Participation				
<p>Did the delegate participate and speak in committee with enough frequency to adequately espouse their country or bloc's viewpoint on the topic? Did the delegate avail himself or herself of the opportunity to speak in open session (regardless of rhetorical effectiveness or debate skill)? Was the delegate physically present in the room during all required sessions?</p> <p>Did the delegate show behavioral problems that precluded their contributing positively, i.e. was disruptive, talked when other people were talking; left the room and would socialize instead of doing work; etc. Did the delegate fail to participate, despite outreach attempts by committee chairs or other delegates?</p>				
1	2	3	4	5

Cooperation				
<p>Did the delegate make attempts to work collaboratively with others in order to incorporate their country or role's objectives into working papers? Did the delegate identify opposition groups and attempt to bridge divides informally and formally, through conversation and working papers respectively? Was the delegate able to identify elements of their platform that could be conceded in order to enable compromise with other groups? Was the delegate able to strike a balance between advocating their country's position and modifying their proposals in order to increase their probability of passage?</p> <p>Was the delegate uncooperative regarding their policy goals, outside of what the simulation aspect of their country or role dictates?</p>				
1	2	3	4	5

Research & Preparedness				
<p>Did the delegate arrive at the conference well versed in both the nuance of the topic and their country's position on it? Was the delegate able to articulate the position in ad-hoc speaking situations? Did the delegate conduct research on the topic beyond the primer included in the topic brief? Did the delegate submit a position paper that accurately and succinctly summarized their country or role's position toward the issue? Did the position paper adequately cite sources for the position, rather than relying on the delegate's own primary opinion?</p> <p>Did the delegate rely on solely the topic brief without conducting adequate additional research to contextualize the topic within their country or role's own history? Did the delegate have trouble remaining "in character" throughout the duration of the conference?</p>				
1	2	3	4	5

Substantive Focus				
<p>Did the delegate demonstrate adequate understanding of the policy issue at the heart of the committee? Was the delegate able to articulate the challenges arising from the topic in a way that indicated more than a superficial awareness? If applicable, was the delegate able to author or contribute to working papers that included a</p> <p>Did the delegate focus too much on tertiary or tangential aspects of the problem? Was the delegate pre-occupied with only one or two aspects of the complex problem?</p>				
1	2	3	4	5

Feasibility of Solution				
<p>Did the delegate contribute to a working paper that adequately addressed the problem and proposed a feasible solution? Was the working paper of sufficient length and complexity to account for the full implementation of the solution, instead of just a statement of the desired outcome? Did the solution proposed by the delegate utilize capabilities actually available to the committee or entity? Did the solution avoid committing to tasks beyond the scope or capabilities of the body?</p> <p>Was the solution general unfeasible as proposed by the delegate? Was the solution proposed reliant on one or more new sub-committees or ad-hoc organizations whose funding mechanisms and/or scope of operations was hazily defined?</p>				
1	2	3	4	5